

## **Jury Decision**

## Case 6

With Case (s):

Race: 4

Boat requests reopening

Class/Person: Sail Note Initiator: Formula Kite URU  Defendant: Formula Kite BRA  Defendant:  Witness:  Represented by:  Validity:  Procedural matters:  Other information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new.  Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied	Represented by Nicolas Landauer  Bruno Lobo	oy:
Defendant:  Defendant:  Witness:  Represented by:  Validity:  occedural matters:  Other information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied		
Defendant:  Witness:  Represented by:  Validity:  ocedural matters:  ther information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si  Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied	Bruno Lobo	
Witness:  Represented by:  Validity:  ocedural matters:  ther information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied		
Represented by:  Validity:  Occedural matters:  ther information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si  Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied		
Validity:  ocedural matters:  ther information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied		
cocedural matters:  ther information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied	Role:	
cocedural matters:  ther information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied		
cocedural matters:  ther information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied		
cocedural matters:  ther information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied		
ther information:  Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied		
Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66 Decisions: Request denied		
Facts Found: The evidence that was presented today was not new. Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66 Decisions: Request denied		
The evidence that was presented today was not new.  Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si  Conclusions and Rules applicable:  There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions:  Request denied		
Nor did it convince us that the International Jury may have made a si Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66 Decisions: Request denied		
Conclusions and Rules applicable: There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied		
There are no grounds to reopen under RRS 66  Decisions: Request denied	nificant error in our decision on valid	dity.
Decisions: Request denied		
Request denied		
Doubles informed a		010 11.10
	the decision (Date & time):06/09/2	019 11:16
Iry Members:  Panel Chairman Scribe	the decision (Date & time):06/08/20	
Pat B. Elias G. Chris A. Cla	the decision (Date & time):06/08/20	
Signature	the decision (Date & time):06/08/20	