

Cases 03 and 04, Decision of International Jury

JUEUUS PAINAIVIERICAINUS		
Date of Hearing:	5 August 2019	
Date of Incident:	5 August 2019	
Race Numbers:	3 and 4	
Protestor (sail rep)	ANT BRA	Tiger Tyson Bruno Lobo
Protestee/ Other Parties	USA	Will Cyr
Witnesses	For USA – URU/ Nicolas Landauer	
Validity:	Protest or request valid, hearing continued	
Procedural Matters:	2. Ca bot 3. With property of the property of	se 03 is both protest and request for redress in race 3, BRA obtesting USA. Is e 04 is a request for redress for races 3 and 4, by ANT vs th USA and BRA. It the agreement of the parties, the jury decided to hear the obtests together as they related to the same incident. It initially and the protest of BRA vs USA – with all three parties present. It is the jury then heard ANT's request for redress, to which ly BRA and ANT were parties; USA was not present. It is jury did not initially ask BRA and USA if they wished to esent video evidence. After the opening statements, BRA and the laptop for the video, Ricardo Lobato, as witness to esent video. Ricardo was not the videographer, he just evided the laptop for the video, he handled the playback of the leo for the parties and the jury, but did not provide give any idence. After viewing the video, USA was given opportunity to did to his opening statement and ask further questions of BRA.
Facts Found:	app US 2. The 3. BR wir 4. As boa 5. US bet 6. Wh slo 7. AN qui kite 8. Bo 9. AN	eir kites were at 11 o'clock in the vertical plane. AA and ANT were approaching from clear astern and to indward of USA, with ANT astern of BRA by 3-4 board lengths. BRA converged with USA, she became overlapped with her ard approximately 3 meters to windward of USA. BA's kite raised slightly, and there was immediate contact tween USA and BRA's kite. In BRA's kite contacted USA, BRA's kite collapsed and she inwed. IT tried to avoid BRA's collapsing kite by raising her own kite as ickly as possible, but she could not avoid entangling with BRA's

Conclusions and Rules	 BRA failed to keep clear of USA and broke rule 11. BRA is not entitled to redress. Since ANT was unable to avoid a tangle with BRA, her position in races 3 and 4 were made significantly worse through no fault of her own, by a boat breaking a rule of part 2. 	
Decision:	 BRA is to be scored RCT in race 3 (see rule F5.64/new rule 64.1(d)). Redress is given to ANT. ANT is to be scored in races 3 and 4 points equal to the average, rounded to the nearest tenth of a point (0.05 to be rounded upward), of her points in all races sailed before the last scheduled day of the qualifying series except races 3 and 4. 	
Jury Panel:	Chris Atkins (GBR, Chair), Sandy Grosvenor (USA, Scribe), Pat Bailey (ISV), Gonzalo Risco (PER), Claudio Salvatore (CHI)	
Chairman's Signature:		
Date and Time Decision Advised:	5 August 2019 @2050	